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## Executive Summary

This report looks at the demographic and economic changes affecting the Fort Ligonier Historic Site in Westmoreland County, Pennsylvania. The University Center for Social and Urban Research was contracted by Dewey and Kaye, a non-profit consulting firm, to complete this research in support of a strategic planning process current underway. This report focuses on a core market area of 11 counties in Southwestern Pennsylvania and Garrett County, Maryland. Major findings of this report include:

- Population in the 11 county focus declined by 401,165 , or $13 \%$, between 1970-2004. This decline resulted directly from the large scale economic restructuring of the mining and manufacturing industries that once were concentrated in the region. Economic diversification has replaced much of these core industries. As a result the region's economic decline has abated in recent years allowing for a convergence of local and national economic trends.
- Past economic decline resulted in age-selective migration of younger workers, leaving the Southwestern Pennsylvania with a disproportionately older population. Because of this older age demographic, the region currently exhibits natural population decline, resulting from deaths exceeding births. Natural population decline will abate as local age demographics gradually converge with what is typical across the nation.
- Population decline for the focus area is projected to slow in coming years to be followed by modest population gains. Annual population growth is projected to increase by an annual average of $0.36 \%$ between 2008 and 2018. This compares to national population projections of annual population increases over $0.8 \%$ between 2010 and 2020.
- Employment trends have not mirrored population trends in the region. Despite significant population decline, overall employment in the 11 county focus area has increased in recent decades. This has resulted primarily from increasing labor force participation of women in the regional labor market.
- Employment trends are projected to continue to exceed population gains. Average annual employment change in the 11 county focus area is projected to increase by $0.6 \%$ between 2008 and 2018. Employment patterns will reflect continuing diversification of the regional economy away from traditional heavy industries. By 2018, just under half of all regional jobs will be in service sector industries.
- Family structure and labor force trends have altered the composition of households in the region. Pittsburgh and Southwestern Pennsylvania once had female labor force participation rates well below national averages. Recent data show that local female labor force participation rates have caught up with national patterns. As is typical across the country, the majority of working age women are employed full time.
- Over the long run, Fort Ligonier will be impacted by continued population and employment growth in the Washington-Baltimore, DC metropolitan area. Growth in the greater Washington area has far surpassed growth in Southwestern Pennsylvania for decades. This trend is expected to continue, resulting in the continuing expansion of the Greater Washington DC Metropolitan area.
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## Introduction

This report summarizes demographic and economic trends in Southwestern Pennsylvania and adjacent areas will affect the Fort Ligonier Historical Site. Fort Ligonier is located on U.S. Route 30 and Pa . Route 711, in Ligonier, Westmoreland County Pennsylvania. Fort Ligonier was one of 11 important frontier forts built in Western Pennsylvania in the mid $18{ }^{\text {th }}$ century. Maintained and operated by the not-for-profit Fort Ligonier Association the site serves as a regional cultural and tourist attraction with regular visiting hours and an adjacent museum. The site is fifty miles east of Pittsburgh and twelve miles north of the Pennsylvania Turnpike.

The University Center for Social and Urban Research (UCSUR) at the University of Pittsburgh was contracted by Dewey \& Kaye, LLC to compile this report in support of their efforts to complete a strategic plan for the Fort Ligonier site for the period 2008-2018. Where appropriate, projections and other analysis will concentrate on changes expected over this particular decade.

This report will focus on an eleven county area in Southwestern Pennsylvania and the Maryland Panhandle. These eleven counties include 7 counties in the Pittsburgh Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA): Allegheny, Armstrong, Beaver, Butler, Fayette, Washington and Westmoreland. Focus counties outside of the Pittsburgh MSA include Cambria, Indiana, Greene, and Garrett County, Maryland. This focus area is depicted in figure 1. Dewey and Kaye have identified these 11 counties as the historical core market area for the Fort Ligonier Historic site. This report will cover the major demographic and economic trends that have been shaping the population in this focus area in recent decades.

Projections of the regional population and economic trends will also be presented, again with a focus on the major trends that are likely to affect strategic planning for the Fort Ligonier historic site. Most projected data comes from the Pittsburgh REMI model, an econometric forecasting tool developed by Regional Economic Models Inc. of Amherst, MA and maintained by UCSUR. Details on the REMI model and other data sources used in this report are included in an appendix to this report. Where available, data on the aggregate 11 county focus area is presented. In some cases data on a nine county area of Southwestern Pennsylvania is presented. Because the Pittsburgh REMI model covers 9 of 11 counties in the focus area, where supplemental data is not available, data for a 9 county area of Southwestern Pennsylvania is presented. In those cases, the nine county area excludes Cambria County, PA and Garrett County, PA.

Figure 1. Focus Counties


## Southwestern Pennsylvania Today

Southwestern Pennsylvania has its largest population concentration in Allegheny County which forms the core of the Pittsburgh metropolitan region. Figure 2 depicts the population density across Southwestern Pennsylvania. The 11 county focus area encompasses both the Pittsburgh and Johnston Metropolitan Statistical Areas. Other nearby metropolitan regions include State College, Harrisburg, Altoona and Morgantown, WV. These are not the only concentrations of population in the region. Also nearby are adjoining Micropolitan Statistical Areas of New Castle, Indiana, Dubois, Somerset, and Cumberland, MD. The concept of Micropolitan Statistical Areas may not be familiar to many readers and is a new term defined by the Office of Management and Budget in 2003. Micropolitan areas are smaller concentrations of population that do not rise to the level of being considered metropolitan areas. Figure 3 is a map showing both the local metropolitan and micropolitan statistical areas. In 2003 OMB also introduced the concept of a combined statistical area (CSA). CSAs represent multiple metropolitan or micropolitan areas that have a high degree of employment interchange. CSAs often represent regions with common labor and media markets. The Pittsburgh MSA along with the New Castle, PA Micropolitan Statistical Area are together considered the Pittsburgh-New Castle Combined Statistical Area.

Figure 2. Population Density 2000 Southwestern Pennsylvania and Environs


Westmoreland County is part of the seven county Pittsburgh Metropolitan Statistical Area, the largest population concentration in Western Pennsylvania. In 2005 the population of the Pittsburgh MSA exceeded 2.3 million. In 2000 the population of the 11 county focus area exceeded 2.7 million people. Table 1 is a summary of Census 2000 demographics in the 11 county focus area compared to the United States. Table 2 summarizes the 2005 demographics for the metropolitan statistical areas closest to Fort Ligonier. Table 3 summarizes the demographics of some of the larger micropolitan statistical areas in close proximity to Ligonier.

These tables provide an overview of the differences between the southwestern Pennsylvania region and the United States. The differences are almost entirely the result of low migration rates of population into Southwestern Pennsylvania over recent decades. Past migration was defined by significant out-migration of young workers. The Pittsburgh region has seen extremely low rates of international immigration in recent decades. One result of that trend has been a significantly lower diversity in the region compared to the nation. In 2000, only $2.4 \%$ of the 11 county population was made up of foreign born residents who arrived in the country over the course of the 1990 's, compared to over $11.4 \%$ of the national population. Over $90 \%$ of the regional population considers themselves white compared to $75 \%$ for the nation as a whole. To date, the flow of Hispanic immigrants, which make up the bulk of recent immigrants to the United States, have only had low migration rates into Southwestern Pennsylvania and environs.
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Figure 3. Nearby Metropolitan and Micropolitan Statistical Areas


Table 1. Census 2000 Summary of 11 County Focus Area

|  | 11 County Focus Area |  | United States |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population by Race |  |  |  |  |
| Total: population | 2,743,808 |  | 281,421,906 |  |
| White alone | 2,484,068 | 90.5\% | 211,353,725 | 75.1\% |
| Black alone | 196,717 | 7.2\% | 34,361,740 | 12.2\% |
| Native American alone | 3,168 | 0.1\% | 2,447,989 | 0.9\% |
| Asian alone | 26,429 | 1.0\% | 10,171,820 | 3.6\% |
| Pacific alone | 896 | 0.0\% | 378,782 | 0.1\% |
| Other alone | 6,468 | 0.2\% | 15,436,924 | 5.5\% |
| $2+$ races | 26,062 | 0.9\% | 7,270,926 | 2.6\% |
| Sex and Age |  |  |  |  |
| Total: population | 2,743,808 |  | 281,421,906 |  |
| Male: | 1,313,259 | 47.9\% | 137,916,186 | 49.0\% |
| Female: | 1,430,549 | 52.1\% | 143,505,720 | 51.0\% |
| Under 18 | 608,615 | 22.2\% | 72,142,757 | 25.6\% |
| Age 18-24 | 230,904 | 8.4\% | 27,067,510 | 9.6\% |
| Age 25-34 | 329,312 | 12.0\% | 39,577,357 | 14.1\% |
| Age 35-34 | 434,697 | 15.8\% | 45,905,471 | 16.3\% |
| Age 45-54 | 394,384 | 14.4\% | 37,578,609 | 13.4\% |
| Age 55-64 | 261,016 | 9.5\% | 24,171,230 | 8.6\% |
| Ave 65 and Over | 484,880 | 17.7\% | 34,978,972 | 12.4\% |
| Nativity |  |  |  |  |
| Total: population | 2,743,808 |  | 281,421,906 |  |
| Native: | 2,677,178 | 97.6\% | 250,314,017 | 88.9\% |
| Born in state of residence | 2,321,553 | 84.6\% | 168,729,388 | 60.0\% |
| Foreign born: | 66,630 | 2.4\% | 31,107,889 | 11.1\% |
| Educational Attainment |  |  |  |  |
| Total: Population 25+ | 1,904,289 |  | 182,211,639 |  |
| No hich school degree | 298,433 | 15.7\% | 35,715,625 | 19.6\% |
| High school grad (inc equivalency) | 743,711 | 39.1\% | 52,168,981 | 28.6\% |
| Some college, under 1 year | 112,932 | 5.9\% | 12,884,843 | 7.1\% |
| Some college, 1+ years, no degree | 192,199 | 10.1\% | 25,466,752 | 14.0\% |
| Associate degree | 129,727 | 6.8\% | 11,512,833 | 6.3\% |
| Bachelor's degree | 270,778 | 14.2\% | 28,317,792 | 15.5\% |
| Master's degree | 102,552 | 5.4\% | 10,770,947 | 5.9\% |
| Professional school degree | 36,397 | 1.9\% | 3,619,535 | 2.0\% |
| Doctorate degree | 17,560 | 0.9\% | 1,754,331 | 1.0\% |
| Household Income |  |  |  |  |
| Total Households | 1,117,193 |  | 105,539,122 |  |
| under \$20,000 | 296,327 | 26.5\% | 23,325,275 | 22.1\% |
| \$20,000-\$29,999 | 166,720 | 14.9\% | 13,736,955 | 13.0\% |
| \$30,000-\$39,999 | 142,517 | 12.8\% | 12,954,424 | 12.3\% |
| \$40,000-\$49,999 | 118,035 | 10.6\% | 11,210,080 | 10.6\% |
| \$50,000-\$59,999 | 96,867 | 8.7\% | 9,537,175 | 9.0\% |
| \$60,000-\$74,999 | 106,977 | 9.6\% | 11,003,429 | 10.4\% |
| \$75,000-\$99,999 | 94,198 | 8.4\% | 10,799,245 | 10.2\% |
| \$100,000-\$124,999 | 42,562 | 3.8\% | 5,491,526 | 5.2\% |
| \$125,000-\$149,999 | 18,892 | 1.7\% | 2,656,300 | 2.5\% |
| \$150,000-\$199,999 | 15,366 | 1.4\% | 2,322,038 | 2.2\% |
| \$200,000+ | 18,732 | 1.7\% | 2,502,675 | 2.4\% |

Table 2. Demographic Summary - Nearby Metropolitan Statistical Areas - 2005

|  |  |  | 8 0 0 0 0 |  | 8 $\stackrel{0}{0}$ 0 0 0 0 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% |
| Total population | 2,314,937 |  | 138,963 |  | 124,263 |  | 500,356 |  | 122,717 |  | 106,899 |  |
| Population Under Age 18 | 503,123 | 21.7 | 28,894 | 20.8 | 21,552 | 17.3 | 115,926 | 23.2 | 27,169 | 22.1 | 20,078 | 18.8 |
| Population Age 65 and Over | 382,773 | 16.5 | 26,293 | 18.9 | 14,242 | 11.5 | 67,856 | 13.6 | 19,612 | 16.0 | 12,689 | 11.9 |
| Median Age | 41.7 |  | 43.9 |  | 29.8 |  | 39.8 |  | 40.7 |  | 36.3 |  |
| Total households | 992,707 |  | 61,194 |  | 51,888 |  | 208,486 |  | 52,145 |  | 44,322 |  |
| Households with children under 18 | 263,741 | 26.6 | 16,790 | 27.4 | 12,154 | 23.4 | 61,819 | 29.7 | 14,037 | 26.9 | 11,533 | 26.0 |
| Educational Attainment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Population 25 years and over | 1,629,318 |  | 99,653 |  | 74,156 |  | 344,276 |  | 85,603 |  | 70,881 |  |
| Less than 9th grade | 45,839 | 2.8 | 4,467 | 4.5 | 2,049 | 2.8 | 10,722 | 3.1 | 2,311 | 2.7 | 3,027 | 4.3 |
| 9 th to 12th grade no diploma | 121,980 | 7.5 | 9,156 | 9.2 | 4,373 | 5.9 | 29,631 | 8.6 | 9,085 | 10.6 | 6,560 | 9.3 |
| High school graduate (includes equivalency) | 614,158 | 37.7 | 49,404 | 49.6 | 24,516 | 33.1 | 128,255 | 37.3 | 41,825 | 48.9 | 28,270 | 39.9 |
| Some college no degree | 264,211 | 16.2 | 12,239 | 12.3 | 9,503 | 12.8 | 52,042 | 15.1 | 11,933 | 13.9 | 10,195 | 14.4 |
| Associate's degree | 141,749 | 8.7 | 6,508 | 6.5 | 3,887 | 5.2 | 26,942 | 7.8 | 6,941 | 8.1 | 2,636 | 3.7 |
| Bachelor's degree | 277,857 | 17.1 | 11,023 | 11.1 | 15,662 | 21.1 | 61,742 | 17.9 | 9,532 | 11.1 | 9,095 | 12.8 |
| Graduate or professional degree | 163,524 | 10.0 | 6,856 | 6.9 | 14,166 | 19.1 | 34,942 | 10.1 | 3,976 | 4.6 | 11,098 | 15.7 |
| School Enrollment K-12 | 374,241 |  | 21,153 |  | 15,208 |  | 86,181 |  | 20,252 |  | 14,689 |  |
| Percentage different county | 3.2\% |  | 3.4\% |  | 10.5\% |  | 6.2\% |  | 2.6\% |  | 6.1\% |  |
| Median nonfamily income (dollars) | \$22,351 |  | \$18,942 |  | \$20,164 |  | \$29,684 |  | \$19,988 |  | \$16,965 |  |
| Mean nonfamily income (dollars) | \$32,469 |  | \$26,159 |  | \$29,050 |  | \$35,796 |  | \$23,321 |  | \$23,760 |  |
| Total households | 992,707 |  | 61,194 |  | 51,888 |  | 208,486 |  | 52,145 |  | 44,322 |  |
| Less than \$10000 | 92,172 | 9.3 | 6,119 | 10.0 | 5,994 | 11.6 | 13,644 | 6.5 | 5,925 | 11.4 | 9,020 | 20.4 |
| \$10000 to \$14999 | 72,682 | 7.3 | 5,134 | 8.4 | 4,293 | 8.3 | 10,587 | 5.1 | 4,345 | 8.3 | 3,029 | 6.8 |
| \$15000 to \$24999 | 137,287 | 13.8 | 9,394 | 15.4 | 7,380 | 14.2 | 21,903 | 10.5 | 8,697 | 16.7 | 6,489 | 14.6 |
| \$25000 to \$34999 | 118,279 | 11.9 | 10,049 | 16.4 | 5,836 | 11.2 | 23,800 | 11.4 | 7,877 | 15.1 | 6,078 | 13.7 |
| \$35000 to \$49999 | 153,334 | 15.4 | 9,760 | 15.9 | 8,259 | 15.9 | 31,590 | 15.2 | 8,257 | 15.8 | 5,298 | 12.0 |
| \$50000 to \$74999 | 188,298 | 19.0 | 11,690 | 19.1 | 9,260 | 17.8 | 45,748 | 21.9 | 8,940 | 17.1 | 6,877 | 15.5 |
| \$75000 to \$99999 | 104,645 | 10.5 | 4,504 | 7.4 | 5,050 | 9.7 | 29,257 | 14.0 | 4,906 | 9.4 | 3,253 | 7.3 |
| \$100000 to \$149999 | 84,774 | 8.5 | 2,662 | 4.4 | 3,818 | 7.4 | 22,208 | 10.7 | 2,245 | 4.3 | 3,052 | 6.9 |
| \$150000 to \$199999 | 21,404 | 2.2 | 638 | 1.0 | 945 | 1.8 | 5,287 | 2.5 | 473 | 0.9 | 535 | 1.2 |
| \$200000 or more | 19,832 | 2.0 | 1,244 | 2.0 | 1,053 | 2.0 | 4,462 | 2.1 | 480 | 0.9 | 691 | 1.6 |

[^0]
## Table 3. Demographic Summary - Nearby Micropolitan Statistical Areas - 2005

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: American Community Survey. Data excludes group quarters population.

## Historic Trends

Population in the 11 county focus area declined by 401,465 , or just under $13 \%$, between 1970 and 2004. These population trends reflect what has been an ongoing and structural decline in the core industries that once dominated the region. Beginning in the 19th century and continuing through World War II, Southwestern Pennsylvania was dominated by mining and heavy manufacturing industries. In times of economic expansion the local need for workers drew immigrants into the region from around the country and the world. Recent population trends of the region mirror the economic decline of those core industries. As local industries experienced significant downsizing, labor demand slumped and reversed the migration flow that had once defined the region.

Manufacturing and mining industries defined not only the size and composition of the local population but also where that population settled within the region. Mining activity stretched out across a large portion of Southwestern Pennsylvania and West Virginia. Many individual mines and manufacturing plants formed the basis of townships and boroughs far from the region's core. Thus unlike many large cities of the early $20^{\text {th }}$ century, the economic activity of Southwestern Pennsylvania was not concentrated in an urban core, but extended out from the city of Pittsburgh.

The primary challenge since World War II has been the continuing decline of manufacturing firms and jobs in the region. Periodic booms in the energy market have positively impacted local mining industries, but these impacts have often been temporary. Continuing improvements in mining productivity have continued to diminish the demand for labor in the mining industry. The relative competitiveness of local manufacturing industries had been weakening for decades prior to the 1980s. In the early 1980s however, the combination of a national recession and the rise in domestic and international competition accelerated the loss of jobs and created a crisis in absolute job loss. The region lost over 150,000 manufacturing jobs in just over a decade. Largescale job loss translated to relatively large population declines as workers left the region. Though population loss had slowed dramatically by the end of the 1980s, the impact of this loss on the composition of the workforce and population would continue into the future.

The unique demographic structure of Southwestern Pennsylvania is one of the largest remaining legacies of past industrial restructuring. Economic transformation has already happened as the local economy has shifted away from reliance on the traditional heavy industries. Yet, even as the economic structure has diversified away from heavy industry, the population continues to have significantly different characteristics of the nation and other larger metropolitan regions. An older population, minimal in-migration and a comparative lack of diversity will affect population and economic trends going into the future. Over the long run, local population trends will also converge with national trends.

## Historic Population Trends

Population decline has affected nearly all of Southwestern Pennsylvania. Figure 4 summarizes the population trends across the 11 county focus area since 1970. With the exception of a short period in the early 1990's population has been in a state of continuous decline. Table 4 summarizes the recent historical population trend in the focus area counties. Only 4 of the 11 counties in the focus area have increased in population between 1970 and 2004. Figure 5 is a map depicting same population trends across the broader region.

Until the mid-1990's population decline in the region was entirely the result of net migration out of the region. Those who left the region were much more likely to be young working age residents and their families. Thus, the Pittsburgh region quickly became one of the oldest regions in the country. Today, the Pittsburgh region has a disproportionate concentration of elderly residents. Because of the current age structure, the Pittsburgh region has now reached a period of natural decrease -- a rarity among U.S. regions -- where the number of deaths exceeds the number of births. When this is coupled with out migration, both components of the population change equation are now negative. While population decline has been fairly consistent in recent decades, it is important to note that the causes of population decline have differed across different time periods. In the early 1980's the population loss was almost entirely the result of young workers leaving the region. Natural population change at that time was positive. In the late 1990's natural population change was negative and out-migration made up a much smaller part of the overall population change.

Figure 4. Population Trends for the 11 County Focus Area: 1970-2004
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Table 4. Population Trends by County 1970-2004

|  |  |  |  |  | Average Annual Change |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| County | 1970 | 1980 | 1990 | 2000 | 2004 | $1970-1990$ | $1990-2004$ |
| Garrett, Maryland | 21,607 | 26,555 | 28,236 | 29,832 | 30,043 | $1.5 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ |
| Allegheny, Pennsylvania | $1,602,690$ | $1,448,253$ | $1,336,740$ | $1,279,817$ | $1,247,512$ | $-0.8 \%$ | $-0.5 \%$ |
| Armstrong, Pennsylvania | 75,732 | 77,716 | 73,516 | 72,318 | 71,373 | $-0.1 \%$ | $-0.2 \%$ |
| Beaver, Pennsylvania | 208,511 | 204,001 | 186,271 | 181,156 | 178,120 | $-0.5 \%$ | $-0.3 \%$ |
| Butler, Pennsylvania | 128,372 | 148,099 | 152,642 | 174,588 | 180,664 | $0.9 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ |
| Cambria, Pennsylvania | 187,061 | 182,986 | 162,938 | 152,245 | 148,646 | $-0.6 \%$ | $-0.6 \%$ |
| Fayette, Pennsylvania | 154,692 | 159,085 | 145,476 | 148,522 | 146,842 | $-0.3 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ |
| Greene, Pennsylvania | 36,264 | 40,508 | 39,539 | 40,684 | 40,001 | $0.5 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ |
| Indiana, Pennsylvania | 79,618 | 92,283 | 89,990 | 89,531 | 88,929 | $0.7 \%$ | $-0.1 \%$ |
| Washington, Pennsylvania | 211,534 | 216,958 | 204,569 | 203,040 | 205,319 | $-0.2 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| Westmoreland, Pennsylvania | 377,212 | 392,294 | 370,467 | 369,820 | 367,937 | $-0.1 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |

Source: Compiled from the Regional Economic Information System (REIS), Bureau of Economic Analysis.

Figure 5. Population Growth by County 1970-2004
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## Historic Employment Trends

Employment trends in the region do not mirror population trends. While population has been continuously decreasing in recent decades, regional employment has generally been increasing since the mid 1980's. Figure 6 depicts recent employment trends for the 11 county focus area.

Many suburban area counties have experienced higher employment growth rates than Allegheny County, the regions urban core. Much of this suburban job growth has been in retail and service sector jobs that closely track with population flows. A large part of the region's employment base has been retained in Allegheny County. Table 5 shows the county level employment changes in the focus area since 1970. In 2004, over 861 thousand jobs were located in Allegheny County, or 55 percent of all jobs in the 11 county focus area. The loss of residents and the retention of jobs in Allegheny County has been a factor contributing to larger commuting flows across the region. In 2000 an estimated 143 thousand workers commuted into Allegheny County daily from across Southwestern Pennsylvania and beyond.

While manufacturing employment has continued to decline, employment gains have extended across a range of service sector and related industries. Health and educational services, finance and other service industries have generated most of the employment growth across Southwestern Pennsylvania in recent decades.

Employment has been able to increase despite continued population loss because of trends in labor force participation. In particular the region has been impacted by steadily increasing trends in female labor force participation. Men in the regional labor force have actually declined in recent decades, but their loss has been more than made up for by greater number of women who have entered the labor force. More information on the changes in labor force participation and the implication for Fort Ligonier are in a later section of this report.

Figure 6. Employment Trends for the 11 County Focus Area: 1970-2004


Table 5. Average Annual Employment Change by County 1970-2004

|  |  |  |  |  | Average Annual Change |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| County | 1970 | 1980 | 1990 | 2000 | 2004 | $1970-1990$ | $1990-2004$ |
| Garrett, Maryland | 6,723 | 10,214 | 14,328 | 17,619 | 19,427 | $5.7 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ |
| Allegheny, Pennsylvania | 740,788 | 773,155 | 819,868 | 875,284 | 861,868 | $0.5 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ |
| Armstrong, Pennsylvania | 22,517 | 25,017 | 26,031 | 31,174 | 31,143 | $0.8 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ |
| Beaver, Pennsylvania | 82,215 | 87,015 | 65,914 | 74,347 | 72,838 | $-1.0 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ |
| Butler, Pennsylvania | 47,223 | 57,065 | 69,934 | 89,232 | 95,966 | $2.4 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ |
| Cambria, Pennsylvania | 70,524 | 72,006 | 71,761 | 75,858 | 75,070 | $0.1 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ |
| Fayette, Pennsylvania | 41,910 | 44,542 | 46,726 | 55,593 | 59,284 | $0.6 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ |
| Greene, Pennsylvania | 11,601 | 14,614 | 14,110 | 16,465 | 16,496 | $1.1 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ |
| Indiana, Pennsylvania | 26,818 | 36,831 | 39,553 | 42,730 | 45,055 | $2.4 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ |
| Washington, Pennsylvania | 72,420 | 80,116 | 84,747 | 94,592 | 99,277 | $0.9 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ |
| Westmoreland, Pennsylvania | 125,286 | 149,649 | 155,270 | 174,257 | 176,007 | $1.2 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ |

## Demographic Forecast

This section presents the baseline demographic forecast for the 11 county focus area in Southwestern Pennsylvania and Garrett County, MD. These forecasts are compiled from the Pittsburgh REMI model, a regional economic model produced by Regional Economic Models Inc. based in Amherst, MA and maintained by the University Center for Social and Urban Research at the University of Pittsburgh. Data for certain counties is supplemented by county profile forecasts acquired from Woods and Poole, a national consulting company. Further information on the REMI model, data, and forecasting methodologies are included as an appendix to this report.

Population decline in the 11 county focus area is projected to abate between 2000 and 2008. Slow population decline will be followed by flat and then modest population growth. Over the period 2008-2018 the 11 county focus area is projected to see a cumulative population increase 96,936 people or $3.6 \%$. Though population increases would represent a significant change for a region that has experienced nearly continuous population decline over the last 40 years, this rate of population increase is considered minimal. At an average $0.36 \%$ per year, population increases in the 11 county area are projected to remain well below national growth trends over the period 2008-2018 and beyond. For comparison census projections estimate that the US population will increase annually by over $0.8 \%$ between 2010 and 2020 .

Several factors are causing the regional population decline to abate in coming years. Population growth is comprised of two components: Natural increase: births minus deaths, and net migration: the difference between those moving into a place less those moving out of the place. Net migration losses for the region have historically been driven by low job creation rates and relatively poor economic performance compared to other large metropolitan regions. Not surprisingly, the Southwestern Pennsylvania suffered its severest outflows of migration during the 1980s, when multiple plant closings were causing permanent job losses throughout the region. The rate of this economic induced migration from the region has slowed markedly since the early 1980's.

In this one regard, the demographic trends of Southwestern Pennsylvania differ significantly from other regions of the county. Most regions of the U.S. experience a positive rate of natural population change caused by the excess of births each year to deaths. Southwestern Pennsylvania, with an age structure skewed to a relatively large elderly age cohort began to experience a correspondingly larger number of deaths than would be typical of a region its size. The high levels of out migration of workers in the 1980s meant the loss of not only baby boomers, but their children, the 'echo boom' population. That generation was not here to begin families in the region and was a factor in a lower number of births in the region than would be typical. Taken together, these factors resulted in the Pittsburgh region experiencing natural population decline beginning in the mid 1990s. The Pittsburgh region is the only large metropolitan region experiencing natural population decline at the beginning of the 21st century.

Currently, Southwestern Pennsylvania has reached a point where both components of population change are negative. Compounding the net migration from the region was natural population decline. For the first time in its measurable history, it is estimated that there were more deaths than births in Southwestern Pennsylvania beginning in 1996. Figure 8 shows the historical and projected trend for natural population change in the 11 county focus area. The rate of natural population decline is currently reaching its peak in 2006 with natural population decline moderating into the future. As natural population decline diminishes population trends will show some improvement. This trend will have secondary impacts because it can be expected to also slowing employment loss in the region. This is because the majority of local jobs in the regional economy exist to provide goods and services to the regional population. The elderly population in particular induces disproportionate employment in medical services and related industries.

Figure 9 shows the projected change in the regional elderly population compared to national trends. While the elderly population is projected to increase both locally and nationally, the growth of the elderly population in Southwestern Pennsylvania will lag national trends. In fact, Census Bureau projections for the elderly population show that Pennsylvania as a while has the lowest projected increase in its elderly compared to all other states over the next quarter century.

Over the longer term, other factors are expected to cause future population gains in Southwestern Pennsylvania to lag national trends. National population trends show that the fastest growing parts of the population are Hispanic and recent immigrant groups that have a very low presence in the region. Between 2010 and 2020, national population projections are that the Hispanic population will account for over $44 \%$ of all population growth in the United States, despite the fact that they represent under $13 \%$ of the national population in 2000.

Figure 7. Historic and Projected Average Annual Population Change by Age Group 11 County Focus Area: 1990-2030


Source: Pittsburgh REMI Model
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Table 6. Focus Area Demographic Forecast by Subregion and Age Group

|  | 1990 | 2000 | 2008 | 2018 | 2030 | Change |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 2000-2008 |  | 2008-2018 |  | 2008-2030 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% |
| Allegheny County |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ages 0-14 | 238,093 | 232,166 | 204,543 | 211,279 | 247,815 | -27,623 | -11.9\% | 6,736 | 3.3\% | 36,536 | 17.9\% |
| Ages 15-24 | 174,568 | 158,351 | 164,703 | 155,900 | 167,170 | 6,352 | 4.0\% | -8,803 | -5.3\% | 11,270 | 6.8\% |
| Ages 25-64 | 692,142 | 661,336 | 662,810 | 677,542 | 694,893 | 1,474 | 0.2\% | 14,732 | 2.2\% | 17,351 | 2.6\% |
| Ages 65+ | 231,937 | 227,963 | 217,555 | 250,881 | 321,018 | -10,408 | -4.6\% | 33,326 | 15.3\% | 70,137 | 32.2\% |
| Total: | 1,336,740 | 1,279,816 | 1,249,611 | 1,295,602 | 1,430,896 | -30,205 | -2.4\% | 45,991 | 3.7\% | 135,294 | 10.8\% |
| Beaver, Butler, Fayette, Washington, Westmoreland Counties |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ages 0-14 | 203,454 | 200,176 | 175,885 | 186,282 | 212,695 | -24,291 | -12.1\% | 10,397 | 5.9\% | 26,413 | 15.0\% |
| Ages 15-24 | 137,794 | 124,905 | 134,197 | 116,480 | 126,742 | 9,292 | 7.4\% | -17,717 | -13.2\% | 10,262 | 7.6\% |
| Ages 25-64 | 540,403 | 562,945 | 587,326 | 609,224 | 614,331 | 24,381 | 4.3\% | 21,898 | 3.7\% | 5,107 | 0.9\% |
| Ages 65+ | 177,774 | 189,100 | 194,254 | 233,524 | 301,220 | 5,154 | 2.7\% | 39,270 | 20.2\% | 67,696 | 34.8\% |
| Total: | 1,059,425 | 1,077,126 | 1,091,662 | 1,145,510 | 1,254,988 | 14,536 | 1.3\% | 53,848 | 4.9\% | 109,478 | 10.0\% |
| Armstrong, Indiana, Greene Counties |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ages 0-14 | 40,166 | 35,679 | 29,690 | 30,370 | 30,979 | -5,989 | -16.8\% | 680 | 2.3\% | 609 | 2.1\% |
| Ages 15-24 | 34,354 | 32,689 | 28,352 | 23,492 | 25,123 | -4,337 | -13.3\% | -4,860 | -17.1\% | 1,631 | 5.8\% |
| Ages 25-64 | 96,637 | 101,669 | 107,463 | 106,741 | 103,746 | 5,794 | 5.7\% | -722 | -0.7\% | -2,995 | -2.8\% |
| Ages 65+ | 31,888 | 32,494 | 32,831 | 39,292 | 48,454 | 337 | 1.0\% | 6,461 | 19.7\% | 9,162 | 27.9\% |
| Total: | 203,045 | 202,531 | 198,336 | 199,895 | 208,302 | -4,195 | -2.1\% | 1,559 | 0.8\% | 8,407 | 4.2\% |
| Cambria County, PA and Garrett County, MD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ages 0-14 | 37,293 | 31,877 | 28,517 | 28,891 | 27,861 | -3,360 | -10.5\% | 374 | 1.3\% | -1,030 | -3.6\% |
| Ages 15-24 | 26,059 | 23,545 | 21,680 | 17,721 | 17,725 | -1,865 | -7.9\% | -3,959 | -18.3\% | 4 | 0.0\% |
| Ages 25-64 | 93,404 | 92,184 | 94,041 | 88,223 | 76,316 | 1,857 | 2.0\% | -5,818 | -6.2\% | -11,907 | -12.7\% |
| Ages 65+ | 34,418 | 34,471 | 32,507 | 37,348 | 46,933 | -1,964 | -5.7\% | 4,841 | 14.9\% | 9,585 | 29.5\% |
| Total: | 191,174 | 182,077 | 176,745 | 172,183 | 168,835 | -5,332 | -2.9\% | -4,562 | -2.6\% | -3,348 | -1.9\% |
| Total 11 County Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ages 0-14 | 519,006 | 499,898 | 438,635 | 456,822 | 519,350 | -61,263 | -12.3\% | 18,187 | 4.1\% | 62,528 | 14.3\% |
| Ages 15-24 | 372,775 | 339,490 | 348,932 | 313,593 | 336,760 | 9,442 | 2.8\% | -35,339 | -10.1\% | 23,167 | 6.6\% |
| Ages 25-64 | 1,422,586 | 1,418,134 | 1,451,640 | 1,481,730 | 1,489,286 | 33,506 | 2.4\% | 30,090 | 2.1\% | 7,556 | 0.5\% |
| Ages 65+ | 476,017 | 484,028 | 477,147 | 561,045 | 717,625 | -6,881 | -1.4\% | 83,898 | 17.6\% | 156,580 | 32.8\% |
| Total: | 2,790,384 | 2,741,550 | 2,716,354 | 2,813,190 | 3,063,021 | -25,196 | -0.9\% | 96,836 | 3.6\% | 249,831 | 9.2\% |

Source: Pittsburgh REMI Model. Woods and Poole.

Figure 8. Historic and Projected Natural Population Change 11 County Area: 1991-2030


Source: Pittsburgh REMI Model
Figure 9. Indexed Projection of Elderly Population
US, Pennsylvania, SW Pennsylvaia and Allegheny County: 2004-2030 (2004=1.0)



[^3]
## Economic Forecast

The economic forecast for the Southwestern Pennsylvania reflects both the effect of past economic restructuring and continued diversification of the region's industries. Once dominated by mining and manufacturing, these industries have slowly lost their competitiveness in the region with corresponding shifts in employment and income generation for the region. As a result of this diversification, regional economic trends are projected to continue converging with national trends.

Table 7 presents the baseline economic forecast for employment by industry in a nine county area of Southwestern Pennsylvania. These nine counties represent the 11 county focus area net of Cambria County, PA and Garrett County, MD. The service sector will account for the majority of all net job creation over the period 2008-2018. Manufacturing and farm employment will continue slow declines as will trade industries to a lesser degree. Overall employment is projected to increase at an average annual rate of $0.6 \%$ between 2008 and 2018.

Figure 10 depicts the changing distribution of employment in the Southwestern Pennsylvania region. Continuing diversification in regional industrial structure is evident in both of these. By 2018 service sector employment is projected to be just under half of all regional employment.

As mentioned earlier, an important trend affecting the regional labor force has been shifting trends in the regional labor force. The trend toward increasing labor force participation is projected to continue into the future. Thus even as population projections reflect low overall population growth, higher rates of employment and labor force growth are projected. As in the past, the bulk of labor force gains will come from women as they enter the workforce in greater numbers. This shift corresponds to the shift away from male dominated heavy industries. In recent decades increased employment across a range of service industries have offset the continuing decline of manufacturing employment in the region. This trend is continued to impact the local labor force into the future.

Table 7. Projected Employment by Industry
9 County Area: 2001-2030

|  | 2001 | 2008 | 2018 | 2030 | Average Annual Change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2001-08 | 2008-18 | 2018-30 |
| Nat Resources, Mining, Utilities, | 113,023 | 107,718 | 114,011 | 122,345 | -0.7\% | 0.6\% | 0.6\% |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Manufacturing | 132,294 | 106,860 | 94,177 | 91,603 | -2.7\% | -1.2\% | -0.2\% |
| Wholesale and Retail Trade | 228,911 | 232,728 | 220,713 | 194,378 | 0.2\% | -0.5\% | -1.0\% |
| Transportation, Informaton, Finance | 198,122 | 201,043 | 206,427 | 207,072 | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% |
| Services | 629,963 | 705,643 | 806,308 | 904,107 | 1.7\% | 1.4\% | 1.0\% |
| Public Administration | 149,574 | 154,445 | 155,582 | 156,074 | 0.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% |
| Farm | 11,973 | 10,984 | 9,190 | 7,066 | -1.2\% | -1.6\% | -1.9\% |

Source: Pittsburgh REMI Model

Figure 10. 11 County Region Employment by Industry: 2001, 2008, 2018
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## Other Significant Trends

## Washington Metropolitan Area Growth

Looking beyond the immediate Southwestern Pennsylvania area, the fastest growing section of the Middle Atlantic region is the greater Washington-Baltimore metropolitan area. The Washington-Baltimore-Northern Virginia Combined Statistical Area currently encompasses 34 counties in the District of Columbia, Maryland, Virginia and West Virginia. Its impact is not limited to these counties. Continuing migration to bordering exurban counties is pushing population and income growth ever farther from its urban cores. Looking into the future it can be expected that additional counties will eventually be included in this area and push the metropolitan boundaries closer to the Pennsylvania border and eventually Westmoreland County and Ligonier.

Figure 11 shows an indexed graph of population trends contrasting population growth in both the Pittsburgh-New Castle and the Washington-Baltimore combined statistical areas. Between 1990 and 2004 the population of the Pittsburgh area has declined by $3 \%$ while Washington-Baltimore area has increased by over 20\%. Similarly personal income growth in the Washington DC area has outstripped trends in the Pittsburgh region by large margins. After adjusting for inflation, total personal income in the Washington DC area increased by $46 \%$ between 1990 and 2004 compared to an $18 \%$ gain in the Pittsburgh region.

Figure 12 shows county level population changes in a broader Middle Atlantic region that includes the edges of the Washington-Baltimore area. The impact and expansion of the Washington area is clear from the differential growth patterns across counties.

Figure 11. Indexed Population Trend
Pittsburgh New Castle vs Washington-Baltimore Combined Statistical Areas (1990-1.00)


Figure 12. Washington DC Region Population Growth 1970-2004


## Labor Force Participation

Continuing changes within the labor force can also be expected to have significant impacts on cultural destinations such as Fort Ligonier. One of the most dramatic changes in the labor force both nationally and in Western Pennsylvania has been the changing nature of labor force participation. While make labor force participation has been declining slightly, the trend for female labor force participation has been increasing steadily. While working women have the impact of increasing household income it puts greater strain on time available for cultural activities. While increasing labor force participation is a national trend, its impact has been concentrated in Southwestern Pennsylvania. Historically the local labor force participation pattern differs historically from national labor force participation patterns, especially in terms of gender. Female labor force participation rates in the Pittsburgh region have historically have been lower than national female labor force participation rates. Pittsburgh region women, and in particular married women with children, were far less likely to be employed compared to other regions of the country. However, female labor force participation rates in Southwestern Pennsylvania have risen steadily since 1970, while male rates have declined ever so slightly. It has only been in recent years that the labor force participation for working age women in the greater Pittsburgh region have caught up to national levels. The impact for Fort Ligonier comes from the fact that most households in the region are now have multiple workers, a factor that has a significant impact on who can attend regional cultural activities and when.

Figure 13. Labor Force Participation by Gender 9 County Southwestern Pennsylvania: 1970-2000


Source: Regional Pittsburgh REMI model.

## Data and Methods

This report compiles data from multiple sources. U.S. Census Bureau data for most of the demographic information including historical data. This includes data from the decennial census of various years and the most recent 2005 data compiled from the American Community Survey (ACS) being distributed by the Census Bureau. Population projections were conducted by the University Center for Social and Urban Research at the University of Pittsburgh using the REMI (Regional Economic Model, Inc.) model. More information on the Pittsburgh REMI Model is included below. Other sources for population projections include the Pennsylvania State Data Center and Woods \& Poole, Inc. Economic data is compiled from both Census Bureau sources and the REMI model, but also from the Regional Economic Information System distributed by the Bureau of Economic Analysis at the Department of Commerce.

The REMI model has been built especially for the Southwestern Pennsylvania region. The core model was purchased from Regional Economic Models Inc. of Amherst, Massachusetts, which has been in business since 1974. University Center for Social and Urban Research (UCSUR) has been a client of REMI since 1991. UCSUR has over the years participated in the calibration and updates of the REMI model. The REMI model is used extensively around the country by regional planning agencies and other commercial and private sector firms for both regional forecasting and economic impact analysis on various projects. UCSUR works cooperatively with the Southwestern Pennsylvania Commission (SPC), which uses the Pittsburgh REMI model as its core forecasting tool and a foundation of its Transportation Improvement Plan (TIP) produced every five years.

The Pittsburgh REMI model is calibrated for a specific 10 County area in Southwestern Pennsylvania. Nine of these counties are also included in the focus area for this report. Two counties not covered by the Pittsburgh REMI model include Cambria County in Pennsylvania and Garrett County in Maryland. For forecasting purposes, specific forecasts for these two counties were acquired from Woods and Poole, Inc, a national data forecasting company to supplement the REMI model and provide 11 county region-wide forecasts.

The Pittsburgh REMI model can provide forecasts for 4 specific sub-regions of Southwestern Pennsylvania. One of these sub-regions is Lawrence County which is not included in the focus counties for this report. The remaining 9 counties are broken down into three sub-regions. Where appropriate in this report, data on specific sub-regions are reported. Sub-region one is comprised of Allegheny County itself. Sub-region two includes 5 counties: Beaver, Butler, Fayette, Washington and Westmoreland. These 5 counties along with Allegheny County comprise what used to be the definition of the Pittsburgh Metropolitan Statistical Area. In 2003 the Pittsburgh MSA was redefined to also include Indiana County. Sub-region 3 includes 3 counties: Indiana, Armstrong and Greene. Figure 4 depicts these sub-regions and the scope of the Pittsburgh REMI model.

Figure 14. Pittsburgh REMI Model Subregions

## Sub-region 1:

Allegheny County

## Sub-region2:

Beaver County
Butler County
Fayette County
Washington County
Westmoreland County

## Sub-region 3:

Armstrong County
Indiana County
Greene County


How does the model project future changes in the regional economy? Figure 19 is a pictorial representation of the model. The output block shows a factory, which sells to all of the sectors of final demand, as well as, to other industries. The labor and capital demand block shows how labor and capital requirements depend both on output and on their relative costs. Population and labor supply are shown as contributing to demand and to wage determination in the product and labor market. The feedback from this market shows that economic migrants respond to labor market conditions. Demand and supply interact in the wage, price and profit block. Once prices and profits are established, they determine market shares, which in turn, along with components of demand, determine output.

The REMI model brings all of the above elements together to determine the value of each of the variables in the model for each year in the baseline forecasts. The model includes all the inter-industry relationships that are in an input-output model in the output block, but goes well beyond the input-output model by including the relationships in all of the other blocks shown in Figure 28.

In order to broaden the model in this way, it was necessary to estimate key relationships. This was accomplished by using extensive data sets covering all areas in the country. These large data sets and two decades of research effort have enabled REMI to simultaneously maintain a theoretically-sound model structure and to build a model based on all of the relevant data available.

The model has strong dynamic properties, which means that it forecasts not only what will happen, but also when it will happen. This results in long-term predictions that have general equilibrium properties. This means that the long-term properties of general equilibrium models are preserved without sacrificing the accuracy of event timing predictions and without simply taking elasticity estimates from secondary sources.
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In order to understand how the model works, you need to know how the key variables in the model interact with each other and how you introduce policy changes into the model. To introduce a policy change, begin by formulating a policy question. Next, select a baseline forecast that uses the baseline assumptions about the external policy variables and then generate an alternative forecast using an external variable set that includes changes in the external values, which are effected by your policy issue.

## Output Block

The output block is shown in Figure 17. This illustrates how the components of final demand are included in this block and how they help determine the amount of output. All of the variables except personal consumption also depend on variable values determined in other blocks.

These linkages are shown in Figure 18. What Figures 3 and 4 do not show is that multiple industries in the model buy inputs from each other and consumer spending on specific commodities is determined by the relative price of that commodity, its income elasticity, the size of the population, and for the medical services commodity: the age composition of population.

Figure 15. Linkages in the REMI Model

## Linkages Among Major Parts of the REMI Model



## Labor and Capital Demand Block

The labor and capital demand has only three types of key variables: employment, optimal capital stock, and labor/output ratio. Employment is determined by output in each industry (determined in the Output block) and the labor/output ratio. This ratio depends on the relative labor, capital, and fuel costs. Optimal Capital Stock also depends on these same factors and the amount of employment. Simply put, if the cost
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of labor increases relative to the cost of capital, the labor per unit of output falls and the capital per unit of labor increases.

## Population and Labor Supply Block

The model predicts population for 600 cohorts segmented by age, ethnicity, and gender. This block also calculates the following demographic processes: births, deaths, and aging. The model deals with different population sectors as explained below:

Retired migrants are based on past patterns for each age cohort 65 and over. International migrants follow past regional distributions by country of origin. Military and college populations are treated as special populations that do not follow normal demographic processes. Economic migrants are the migrants who are sensitive to changes in relative economic conditions in the relative regional economies. The economic variables that change economic migration are employment opportunity and real after-tax wage rates.

This block also allows you to determine the size of the labor force by predicting the labor force participation rates for age, ethnicity and gender cohorts; and applying these to their respective cohorts and then adding them up. The key variables that change participation rates within the model are the ratio of employment to the relevant population (labor market tightness) and the real after-tax wage rates.


[^0]:    Source: American Community Survey. Data excludes group quarters population.

[^1]:    Source: Compiled from the Regional Economic Information System (REIS), Bureau of Economic Analysis.

[^2]:    Source: Compiled from the Regional Economic Information System (REIS), Bureau of Economic Analysis.

[^3]:    Source: Census Bureau, Pittsburgh REMI Model

